## SECTION G: ORGANISATIONAL & INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Municipal Systems Act, enacted in November 2000, requires all municipalities to:

- Develop a performance management system
- Set targets, monitor and review performance based on indicators linked to their integrated development plan (IDP)
- Publish an annual report on performance for the councilors, staff, the public and other spheres of government
- Incorporate and report on a set of general indicators prescribed nationally by the minister responsible for local government
- Conduct an internal audit on performance before tabling the report
- Have their annual performance report audited by the Auditor-General
- Involve the community in setting indicators and targets and reviewing municipal performance

## 1. KEY PERFORMANCE AREAS

Based on the issues identified, the key performance areas for the municipality have been defined as:

- Service Delivery
- Economic Development
- Social Development
- Institutional Development
- Financial Management
- Good governance and public participation

In addition to these, a number of key National indicators are prescribed, that must form part of the Municipality's Performance Management System.

# 2. BASIC PRINCIPLES OF ZULULAND DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- It is Council's responsibility to adopt the PMS.
- The Executive Committee is responsible for the development of the system. However, the Executive Committee may assign responsibilities to the Municipal Manager in this regard, but remains accountable for the development of the PMS.

Page 306 of 329

- The process of developing the system must be inclusive, participatory and transparent.
- The PMS must be simple, realistic, fair and objective, developmental and non-punitive.
- The IDP process and the PMS process should appear to be seamlessly integrated.

## 3. MUNICIPAL INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

The municipality has established a project team comprising of the Municipal Manager and head of Departments to facilitate the performance management process within the Municipality. This team is responsible for the drafting, implementation and monitoring of the entire performance process within the Municipality

The Team is responsible for:

- Preparing the organization for change with the objective of reaching a common understanding of performance management within the organization;
- Facilitating the development of the PMS framework; and
- Supporting the organization in the implementation of the PMS framework.

The Zululand District Municipality has an Audit and Risk Management Committee set up in accordance with the prescripts of the Municipal Finance Management Act No. 56 of 2003, section 166. The Committee comprises of two independent members, who are not in the employ of the Zululand District Municipality, nor are they political office bearers.

The Chairperson of the Audit Committee is an independent person who is knowledgeable of the status of the position and has the requisite legal, business and leadership skills. The Committee operates in terms of written terms of reference approved by the municipality's Accounting Officer and Council's Executive Committee, which is in accordance with best practice.

#### 3.1 AUDIT AND RISK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

The Zululand District Municipality has an Audit and Risk Management Committee set up in accordance with the prescripts of the Municipal Finance Management Act No. 56 of 2003, section 166. The Committee comprises of two independent members, who are not in the employ of the Zululand District Municipality, nor are they political office bearers.

The Chairperson of the Audit Committee is an independent person who is knowledgeable of the status of the position and has the requisite legal, business and leadership skills. The Committee operates in terms of written terms of reference approved by the municipality's Accounting Officer and Council's Executive Committee, which is in accordance with best practice.

The Committee performs its responsibilities as required in terms of the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA), as well as the code of Corporate Governance. The Audit Committee meets a minimum of four (4) times during a financial year. The Following issues are deliberated upon:

- The adequacy, reliability and accuracy of financial reporting and information;
- The activities and effectiveness of internal audit function;
- The accounting and auditing concerns identified as a result of the internal or external audits;
- The effectiveness of the internal control systems;
- Risk Management;
- Compliance with the MFMA and other applicable legislation;
- Performance Management; and
- Reports on forensic investigations.

Page **307** of **329** 

#### 3.2 INTERNAL AUDIT

The Zululand District Municipality has a system of Internal Audit under the control and direction of its Audit and Risk Committee. Based on the results of assurance work carried out by the Internal Audit unit, areas of weakness are identified and addressed. The weaknesses in internal control systems are brought to the attention of management to take corrective measures.

## 4. THE ZDM PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

Each municipality is required by legislation to develop a performance management framework. The framework should describe how the process of performance planning, monitoring, measurement, review and reporting will happen.

The framework also needs to indicate how the process will be organized and managed, by determining the functions of each role-player in the process.

In terms of Local Government Municipal Planning and Performance Regulations, a system must:

- (a) Comply with all requirements set out in the Municipal Systems Act;
- (b) Demonstrate how it is to operate and be managed from the planning stage up to the stages of performance review and reporting;
- (c) Clarify the roles and responsibilities of each role player, including the local community, in the functioning of the system;
- (d) Clarify the processes of implementing the system within the framework of the integrated development planning process;
- (e) Determine the frequency of reporting and the lines for accountability for performance;
- (f) Relates to the Municipality's employee performance management process

The Zululand District Municipality Performance Management Framework is a tool used by management to gain a better understanding of the ability of the organization to fulfill its mandate and achieve its goals.

The Zululand District Municipality Performance framework has the following steps:

Table 92: Steps in Preparing the ZDM OPMS

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Amendments identified in the revision process	All previous years' amendments to be taken into consideration in updating the IDP	Council; Exco; MM; HODs
Adoption of a process for drafting the IDP	Process adopted to guide the planning, drafting, adoption & review of the IDP	Council; Exco; MM; HODs
	Constitute ZDM Representative forum as Community Participation structure	Planning; Depts
	Advertise for Community Participation	Depts

Page **308** of **329** 

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
	As part of IDP process, identify key development challenges	Council; Exco; MM; HODs
	Arrange for notices and agendas to be distributed at least 14 days before the meeting	Planning; Depts
	Document meeting comments and representations and include in Performance sheet	Planning; Depts
	Confirm key development priorities	Exco; Depts
Drafting of the IDP	Exco to delegate responsibility of drafting the IDP, whilst continuously monitoring it	Planning
Adoption of the developed IDP	Submit draft IDP to Council for adoption	Planning
	Community given 21 days to comment on draft prior to plan being submitted to Council for adoption	Planning; Depts
Implementation of the developed IDP	Convene regular meetings with Community to monitor IDP implementation	Planning; Depts
	Community forum members given 14 days before meetings to allow consultation with their constituencies regarding matters to be discussed at the meeting	Planning; Depts
	Municipality formally adopted the IDP	Council; Exco; MM; HODs
Disclose requirements of the IDP	MM to submit copy of IDP to the MEC for local Govt within 10 days of its adoption by Council	ММ
	Copy of IDP to MEC must contain: Summary of process adopted; Statement confirming that IDP development process has been complied with and a copy of the adopted framework	MM
	Municipality to give notice to Public, within 14 days of IDP adoption and makes copies available for Public inspection	Planning; Depts
	Municipality to publicize, within 14 days of IDP adoption, a summary of the Plan	Depts

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Proposals on the IDP received from the MEC	If proposals received from MEC, proof must be obtained that : 1) IDP has been adjusted if proposals agreed to 2) Reasons have been provided to MEC where proposals not agreed to	Council; Exco; MM; HODs
Proposals for amending the IDP	If IDP amended, proof to be held that proposals were introduced by a member or committee of the Council	Council; Exco; MM; HODs
Amending the IDP	Proof must be obtained that notice was given by Municipality to all Council members before amendment adopted	Planning
	Proof must be obtained that prior to IDP amendment being adopted, public notice was issued for public comment allowing at least 21 days to comment on the proposed amendment	Planning; Depts
	Confirmation required that local Municipalities were consulted and that their comments were considered	Planning; Depts
	Proof must be held that the MM submitted copy of subsequent amendment to the MEC for local Govt within 10 days of the amendment of the Plan	MM

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Review of framework for PMS together with PMS for year under review	Municipality review its framework for the PMS together with PMS for year under review	Dev Planning
Development of a framework for the PMS	Municipality has a documented framework for it s PMS  Keep minutes of meetings that were convened with  Community Forum to consult regarding the development  of the framework for the PMS	Dev Planning Planning; Depts
	Community must be given at least 14 days before each meeting to consult their constituencies regarding the matters to be discussed at the meeting	Corporate Serv; Depts
Development of	Proof that Exco managed the development of the	Exco

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING		
the PMS	municipality's PMS			
	Convene meetings with community forum to consult	Planning; Depts		
	Delegation of responsibilities assigned to the MM by Exco with regard to the development of the PMS in place	Planning		
Adoption of the PMS	Proof required that Exco submitted the proposed PMS to Council for adoption	MM; Planning		
	Proof required that Council adopted the PMS before or at the same time as setting up of KPIs and targets as per IDP	Exco; Council; MM; HODs		
Implementation of	Proof that the municipality did implement the PMS	Dev Planning		
the PMS	Proof that the community forum was consulted through regular meetings regarding the implementation of the PMS	Planning; Depts		
Monitoring and	Proof that the municipality did establish mechanisms to	Planning; Depts		
review of the PMS	monitor and review its PMS			
	Proof that the municipality does monitor and review its PMS	Dev Planning		
	Confirm that the community forum was given enough time to consult their constituencies regarding matters to be discussed at meetings	Dev Planning		
	Proof that the municipality made know internally and to the public, the KPIs and performance targets set by it for the purposes of its PMS	Planning; Depts		
Evaluating the framework for the PMS	Proof that the PMS framework describes how the municipality's cycle and processes of Performance Planning, Monitoring, Measurement, Review, Reporting and Improvement will be conducted, organized and managed	Dev Planning		
Evaluating the PMS	Proof that the municipality's PMS shows how it is to operate, clarifies roles and responsibilities of role-players, clarifies system implementation, frequency of reporting and accountabilities, relates to the municipality's employee performance management process, provide a procedure to link PMS to IDP planning process and is devised to serve as an early warning of underperformance	Planning; Depts		

3. PROCESS FOR SETTING & REVIEWING KPIs		
RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Prescribed process for setting Key Performance Indicators	Confirmation required that the PMS prescribes a process of setting KPI's	Planning; HODs
Prescribed process for the Review of the Key Performance Indicators	Confirmation that the PMS prescribes an annual performance review process for the review of KPIs	Planning; HODs
Developing KPIs	Proof required that the municipality's PMS sets KPIs which are used as a yardstick for measuring performance, including outcomes and impact	Planning; HODs
	Proof required that the community through a forum was allowed to participate in the setting of appropriate KPIs for the municipality	Planning; HODs
Reviewing the KPIs	Proof that the municipality does review its KPIs on an annual basis in accordance with the PMS's review process	Planning; HODs
Evaluating the Key Performance Indicators	Proof required that the KPIs are reflected in the IDP of the municipality	Planning; HODs
	Ensure that KPIs set include the general national KPIs prescribed according to Regulation 10	Dev Planning
	Include KPIs for all municipal entities and Service Providers with whom the municipality has entered into a service delivery agreement	Planning; HODs

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOI ACTIONING
Prescribed process for setting Performance targets	Confirmation that the municipality's PMS prescribes process for setting performance targets	Planning; MM; HODs
Setting	Ensure performance targets are set in terms of the PMS	Planning; MM; HODs

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Performance targets	Ensure that Community involvement was sought in setting Performance targets for the municipality	Planning; Depts
	If the IDP and subsequently the KPIs were amended, confirm that performance targets were also amended in accordance with the amendments of the KPIs	Planning; Depts
Evaluating the Performance	Proof that targets were set for each of the KPIS	Planning; Depts
targets	Ensure that the performance targets are practical and realistic and measure the efficiency, effectiveness, quality and impact of the performance of the municipality and its constituencies	Planning; Depts

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Framework to track the performance of staff and Service Providers of the Municipality	Confirmation that the municipality has a framework in terms of its PMS to track performance of staff and Service Providers	Planning; Depts
process	Ensure that all municipal staff reported in accordance with their lines of accountability and at the required intervals	MM; HOD's
	Proof that each service provider has reported to the municipality in accordance with their responsibilities and at the required reporting intervals	HOD's
	Ensure that resource allocation to service providers is in accordance with the municipality's development priorities and objectives	HOD's
Evaluating the framework for the tracking of the performance of the	Proof required that the framework has clarified breakdown of performance targets at all levels of the organization	HOD's
municipality's staff and service	Ensure that the framework clarifies the lines of accountability within the municipality and the intervals for reporting thereto (Staff & SPs)	MM; HOD's

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) ACTIONING	RESP.	FOR
providers	Ensure that framework clarifies methods for tracking performance of municipality's staff and that of Service Providers	HOD's		

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Monitoring framework	Ensure that the municipality has, as part of its PMS, a monitoring framework	Exco; Council; MM; HODs
Development of a monitoring framework	Proof that the municipality developed its monitoring framework after consultation with some form of Community forum	Planning; Depts
	Where Forum was used, proof required that notice was given at least 14 days prior to each meeting, giving them a chance to consult with their constituencies	Planning; Depts
Performance Monitoring	Proof that the monitoring of municipality's and service providers' performance are monitored in accordance with the monitoring framework	Planning; Depts
	Proof required that performance of staff and that of service providers is monitored on an ongoing basis throughout the financial year	MM; Exco; Council; HODs
	Where under-performance detected, proof that corrective measures were taken	MM; Exco; Council; HODs
Evaluating the monitoring framework	Confirm that the monitoring framework identifies roles of role-players in monitoring, collecting and analysing data; allocating tasks and gathering data; determines what data to be collected, how data is to be collected, stored, verified and analysed; gives timeframes for the data collection, times for report submissions and how they are to be submitted	Depts
	Confirmation that the framework provides for corrective measures where under-performance has been identified	MM; HODs
	There must be reporting to Council at least twice a year	MM

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Internal control	Ensure that the municipality has, as part of its internal control, an Internal Audit Activity	CFO
	Ensure that a Performance Audit Committee has been set	Depts
Internal control exercised	Proof that the Audit Committee performs continuous audits assessing:  1) Functionality of the PMS  2) Compliance of the PMS with Systems Act  3) The extent to which measurements are reliable measures of the municipality's performance against KPIs	Depts
	Ensure that Performance Audit Committee has at least 3 members	Depts
	Ensure majority of Committee members are not involved as councilor or employee of the municipality, with at least 1 member being a PMS expert	Depts
	Performance Audit committee to submit at least 2 Audit reports to Council during the financial year	Planning
Characteristics of the Performance Audit Committee	The Committee must have unrestricted access to records deemed necessary for it to perform its duties; it may also request any relevant person to attend its meetings to provide information considered important/relevant; it may liaise directly with Council/MM or internal and external auditors; it may also investigate any matter it deems necessary for the performance of its audits	Depts

# 5. ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR THE COUNCIL (2012/2013)

KPI No	KPI Name	Responsible	Previous Year Performance (2011/12)	Baseline	Backlog	Demand	Evidence				Frequency	Actual Progress	Score		
								1	2	(Target) 3	4	5			
1	Approved WSDP plan	MM (J.Hde Klerk); HOD: P (StefanLandman)	28/05/2012	28/05/2012	0	Approved WSDP plan	certified council resolution	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	29/05/2013	5
2	Percentage of households with access to basic level of water (HH 142 543)	HOD: TS (ZweliDladla)	1.50%	64.51%	35.49%	100%	Design report, interim report and or engineers certificate of completion	0.15%	0.49%	0.90%	1.23%	1.69%	Quartely	1%	3
3	Percentage of households earning less than R1100 pm with access to free water (Note: Rudimentary LOS included)	HOD: TS (ZweliDladla)	1.76%	64.51%	35.49%	100%	Design report, interim report and or engineers certificate of completion	1.77%	1.87%	1.97%	2.07%	2.17%	Quartely	0.36%	1
4	Number of water quality tests	HOD: TS (ZweliDladla)	1735	1735	0	1836	Sample test results as certified by the lab	1816	1826	1836	1846	1856	Annually	1840	3
5	Percentage of households with access to basic level of sanitation	HOD: TS (ZweliDladla)	4.93%	63.07%	36.93%	100%	Design report, interim report and or engineers certificate of completion	4.40%	4.50%	4.60%	4.70%	4.80%	Quartely	2.26%	1
6	Percentage of households earning less than R1100 pm with access to free basic sanitation	HOD: TS (ZweliDladla)	4.93%	63.07%	36.93%	100%	Design report, interim report and or engineers certificate of completion	4.40%	4.50%	4.60%	4.70%	4.80%	Quartely	2.26%	1

KPI No	KPI Name	Responsible	Previous Year Performance (2011/12)	Baseline	Backlog	Demand	Evidence			2012/13 Annual			Frequency	Actual Progress	Score
								1	2	(Target) 3	4	5			S
7	Approved policies and bylaws	MM (J.Hde Klerk); HOD: P (StefanLandman)	28/05/2012	28/05/2012	0	Approved policies and bylaws	Memo to MM on identified policies and bylaws to be amended, certifiedcouncil resolution, approved policies	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	15/03/2013	5
8	Number of WSP reports submitted	HOD: P (StefanLandman)	24 reports	24	0	8	Number of WSP reports and minutes	6	7	8	9	10	Annually	12	5
9	Average time of notification to community prior to planned interruptions	HOD: TS (ZweliDladla)	41	41	0	48hrs	signed interruption and notice register	12hrs	24hrs	48hrs	60hrs	72hrs	Quartely	48	3
10	% of MIG grant funds spent on approved projects	MM (J.Hde Klerk); HOD: TS (ZweliDladla)	100%	100%	0	100%	certified ledger expenditure	96% by 30 June 2013	98 by 30 June 2013	100 by 30 June 2013	100% by 15 June 2013	100% by 01 Jun 2013	Annually	100%	5
11	100% capital budget actually spent on IDP projects identified	MM (J.Hde Klerk); HOD: P (StefanLandman); HOD: CD (ZaneleCele); HOD: TS (ZweliDladla)	100%	100%	0	100%	Financial report, certified ledger expenditure	80% by 30 Jun 2013	90% by 30 Jun 2013	100% by 30 Jun 2013	100% by 15 Jun 2013	100% by 01 Jun 2013	Annually	100%	5
12	4 Disaster management forum meetings	HOD: CS (M. N.Shandu)	N/A	0	0	4	Approved minutes	2 by 31/07/2013	3 by 01/07/2013	4 by 20/06/2013	4 by 15/06/2013	4 by 01/06/2013	Annually	4 by 22/05/2013	5
13	12 Disaster Management awareness campaigns	HOD: CS (M. N.Shandu)	57 Campaigns	57 Campaigns	0	12	Minutes confirming reports tabled and feed back reports	6 by 31 Jul 2013	9 by 15 Jul 2013	12 by 20 Jun 2013	12 by 15 Jun 2013	12 by 1 Jun 2013	Annually	12 by 29 May 2013	5
14	Approved Disaster management Plan	HOD: CS (M. N.Shandu); MM (J.Hde Klerk)	31/05/2012	31/05/2012	0	Approved Disaster management Plan	council resolution and plan	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	28/05/2013	5
15	Approved airport	HOD: CS (M. N.Shandu)	N/A	0		Review of Airport Plan	council resolution and	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	28/03/2013	5
16	Implement airport plan	HOD: CS (M. N.Shandu)	120%	120%	0	100%	Implementation report and minutes	80% by 30 June 2013	90% by 30 Jun 2013	100% by 30 Jun 2013			Quartely	100% by 28/06/2013	5
17	Outstanding service debtors recovery rate to revenue	CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	0.9	0.9	0	0.25	Annual Financial statements and Sec 71 Reports	0.48	0.32	0.25	0.23	0.2	Quartely	0	5
18	0 Successful appeals	CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	N/A	0	0	0	Supply chain management report	3	1	0			Quartely	0	5

KPI No	KPI Name	Responsible	Previous Year Performance (2011/12)	Baseline	Backlog	Demand	Evidence			2012/13 Annual	l		Frequency	Actual Progress	Score
								1	2	(Target) 3	4	5			- V
19	30 days processing time of invoices	CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	1	1	0	30	Creditors report	60	45	30	15	7	Quartely	14	4
20	Review and submit Financial Statements	CFO (S. B.Nkosi); MM (J.Hde Klerk)	8/31/2011	8/31/2011	0	31/08/2013	AG reciept	30/09/2012	15/09/2012	31/08/2012	15/08/2012	01/08/2012	Annually	31/08/2012	3
21	Unqualified (u.q) audit opinion	CFO (S. B.Nkosi);MM (J.Hde Klerk)	unqualified	unqualified	0	unqualified	AG audit report	Disclaimer	Qualified	unqualified	n/a	n/a	Annually	unqualified	3
22	Approved final budget	CFO (S. B.Nkosi); MM (J.Hde Klerk)	31/05/2012	31/05/2012	0	31 /05/2014	Council resolution and final budget approval	15/06/2013	1/6/2013	30/05/2013	15/05/2013	01/05/2013	Annually	29/05/2013	5
23	4 audit committee meetings	CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	4	4	0	4	Approved minutes to meeting	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Quartely	06/05/2013	5
24	75% of audit queries addressed from the AG report	CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	100%	100%	0	100%	Internal and external report	55%	65%	75%	90%	100%	Quartely	100%	5
25	Approved financial plan	CFO (S. B.Nkosi); MM (J.Hde Klerk)	29/05/2012	29/05/2012	0	Approved financial plan	IDP resolution	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	29/05/2013	5
26	Cost Coverage ratio	CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	25.24	25.24	0	3	Annual financial statements & Sec 71 reports	1	2	3	4	5	Quartely	7	5
27	Debt Coverage Ratio	CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	10	10	0	3	Annual financial statements & Sec 71 reports	1	2	3	4	5	Quartely	5	5
28	96% operating budget funded from cash	CFO (S. B.Nkosi); MM (J.Hde Klerk)	100%	100%	0	100%	Investments and monthly operating expenditure	92%	94%	96%	98%	100%	Quartely	100%	5
29	Approved annual report	CFO (S. B.Nkosi); MM (J.Hde Klerk)	29/03/2012	29/03/2012	0	Approved annual report	Certified council minutes and annual report	30/04/2013	15/04/2013	31/03/2013	15/03/2013	01/03/2013	Annually	31/01/2013	5
30	% of account adjustments effected	CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	0.20%	0.20%	0	3%	Financial report	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	Quartely	3%	3
31	60 days with excessive funds in current account in relation to strategy	CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	60	60	0	60	Investments report	100	80	60	40	20	Quartely	15	5
32	% of captial projects budgeted for in accordance with the IDP	CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	100%	100%	0	100%	Budget report	90% by 30 June 2013	90% by 30 June 2013	95% by 30 June 2013	98% by 30 June 2013	100% by 30 June 2013	Quartely	100%	5

KPI No	KPI Name	Responsible	Previous Year Performance (2011/12)	Baseline	Backlog	Demand	Evidence		:	2012/13 Annual			Frequency	Actual Progress	Score
					_ ω			1	2	(Target) 3	4	5			S
33	Approved LED Strategy	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	01/06/2012	01/06/2012	0	Approved LED Strategy	Approved LED Strategy, Certified council minutes	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	29/05/2013	5
34	Number of tourism awareness and training workshops held	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	19	19	0	8	Tourism portfolio commitee approved minutes and awareness and training reports	4 by 30 June 2013	6 by 30 June 2013	8 by 30 June 2013	8 by 15 June 2013	8 by 01 June 2013	Quartely	7 by 30 June 2013	3
35	Number of jobs created through LED initiatives and capital projects	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele); MM (J.Hde Klerk)	419	419	0	250	Monthly progress reports, minutes to meetings, request from community and endorsement from ZDM	100	150	200	250	300	Quartely	300	5
36	8 approved LED Business plans	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	11	11	0	8	Approved minutes and business plans	4 by 30 June 2013	6 by 30 June 2013	8 by 30 June 2013	8 by 15 June 2013	8 by 01 June 2013	Quartely	8 by 30 June 2013	3
37	8 LED Forums/Sub- Forums meetings held	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	8	8	0	8	Approved minutes and attandance register	4 by 30 June 2013	6 by 30 June 2013	8 by 30 June 2013	8 by 15 June 2013	8 by 01 June 2013	Quartely	2 by 30 June 2013	3
38	Number of LED projects implemented	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	N/A	0	0	LED projects implemented	Annual awarded list, annual expenditure report		3 by 30 June 2013	4 by 30 June 2013	4 by 15 June 2013	4 by 01 June 2013	Quartely	8 by 30 June 2013	5
39	Approved HIV/AIDS Strategy	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	30/06/2012	30/06/2012	0	Approved HIV/AIDS Strategy	Approved strategy and minutes to the meeting	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	30/06/2013	3
40	12 awareness campaigns held	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	11	11	0	12	Attendance register, certified minutes and reports	8 by 30 June 2013	10by 30 June 2013	12 by 30 June 2013	12 by 15 June 2013	12 by 01 June 2013	Quartely	21 by 30 June 2013	3
41	4 approved Youth & Gender related Business Plans submitted for funding	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	6	6	0	4	Approved business plans and minutes of the meeting		3 by 30 June 2013	4 by 30 June 2013	4 by 15June 2013	4 by 01June 2013	Quartely	0	1
42	Approved youth and gender strategy	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	30/06/2012	30/06/2012	0	Approved youth and gender strategy	Approved strategy and minutes to the meeting	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	29/06/2013	5
43	4 District Youth & Gender Councils Meetings held	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	1	1			Minutes of the meeting		3 by 30 June 2013	4 by 30 June 2013	4 by 15June 2013	4 by 01 June 2013	Quartely	13 by 30 June 2013	5
44	500 people participating in ZDM Community Capacity Building Programmes	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele); MM (J.Hde Klerk)	510	510	0	500	Monthly signed registers and reports	480	490	500	510	520	Quartely	1783	5

KPI No	KPI Name	Responsible	Previous Year Performance (2011/12)	Baseline	Backlog	Demand	Evidence		:	2012/13 Annua			Frequency	Actual Progress	Score
								1	2	(Target) 3	4	5			8
45	12 food production site inspection reports	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	N/A	0	0	12	Approved inspection reports	8 by 30 June 2013	10 by 30 June 2013	12 by 30 June 2013	12 by 15 June 2013	12 by 01 June 2013	Quartely	37 by 30 June 2013	5
46	12 mortuary inspections reports	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	N/A	0	0	12	Approved inspection reports	8 by 30 June 2013	10 by 30 June 2013	12 by 30 June 2013	12 by 15 June 2013	12 by 01 June 2013	Quartely	12 by 30 June 2013	3
47	4 Capacity Building related Business Plans submitted	HOD: CD (ZaneleCele)	0	0	0	4	Approved business plans ans minutes of the meeting	1 by 30 June 2013	3 by 30 June 2013	4 by 30 June 2013	4 by 15 June 2013	4 by 01 June 2013	Quartely	3 by 30 June 2013	2
48	Approved Communication strategy	MM (J.Hde Klerk)	N/A	0	0	Develop Communication Strategy	Council minutes	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	29/05/2013	5
49	Percentage of allocated grant funds spent	HOD: P (StefanLandman); CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	100%	100%	0	100%	Financial statements	85% by 31 July 2013	90% by 15 July 2013	100% by 30 Jun 2013	100% by 15 June 2013	100% by 01 June 2013	Quartely	100%	5
50	Approved fraud prevention strategy	CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	N/A	0	0	Develop Anti- corruotion strategy	Council minutes	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	20/03/2013	5
51	Number of signed Sec 57 performance agreements	MM (J.Hde Klerk)	N/A	6	0	6	signed performance agreements, council resolution	33% by 31 Aug 2012	66% by 31 Aug 2012	100% by 30 Aug 2012	100% by 15 Aug 2012	100% by 01 Aug 2012	Annually	100%	5
52	Number of Critical Posts filled in relation to organogram	MM (J.Hde Klerk)	N/A	100%	0	100%	Human resource reports	80% by 31 JUI 2013	90% by 15 Jul 2013	100% by 30 June 2013			Annually	100%	5
53	Approved risk management plan	CFO (S. B.Nkosi)	N/A	0	0	Develop Risk Management Plan	minutes to meeting	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	20/03/2013	5
54	Number of stakeholder meetings	HOD: P (StefanLandman); MM (J.Hde Klerk)	25	25	0	12	Minutes of meeting	8	10	12	13	14	Quartely	15	5
55	Date of submission of IDP framework plan to council for adoption	HOD: P (StefanLandman)	30/09/2011	30/09/2011	0	30/09/2013	Concil resolution, minutes of meeting	30/10/2012	15/10/2012	30/09/2012	15/09/2012	01/09/2012	Annually	09/05/2012	4
56	Date of submisiion of reviewed spatial development framework to council	HOD: P (StefanLandman); MM (J.Hde Klerk)	N/A	0	0	Reviewed SDF	Council resolution, minutes of meeting	30/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	29/05/2013	5

KPI No	KPI Name	Responsible	Previous Year Performance (2011/12)	Baseline	Backlog	Demand Og	Evidence	2012/13 Annual						Actual Progress	Score
								1	2	(Target) 3	4	5			
57	Adopted Intergrated Development Plan	HOD: P (StefanLandman); MM (J.Hde Klerk)	31/05/2012	31/05/2012	0	30/06/2014	Council resolution	30/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	29/05/2013	5
58	Number of SDBIP reports	MM (J.Hde Klerk)	4	4	0	4	copy of reports, council resolution	2	3	4			Quartely	4	5
59	Approved Employee Assistance Programme	HOD: CS (M. N.Shandu)	19/04/2012	19/04/2012	0	Approved Employee Assistance Programme	Minutes of meeting, approved programme	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Quartely	28/05/2013	5
60	Approved corporate service policies (Records, HR and Fleet)	HOD: CS (M. N.Shandu)	19/04/2012	19/04/2012	0	Approved corporate service policies	Approved policies and minutes to meeting	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	28/05/2013	5
61	Number of people from employment equity target groups employed in three highest levels of Management	MM (J.Hde Klerk)	3	3	0	100%	EE report and minutes to meeting	100% by 1 Jul 2013	100% by 15 July 2013	100% by 30 June 2013	100% by 15 June 2013	100% by 01 June 2013	Quartely	100	5
62	Submit workplace skills plan	HOD: CS (M. N.Shandu)	N/A	0	0	Submit workplace skills plan	Minutes of meeting and plan	31/07/2013	15/07/2013	30/06/2013	15/06/2013	01/06/2013	Annually	15/06/2013	5
63	95% Municipal Budget spent on Skills Development Plan	HOD: CS (M. N.Shandu)	100%	100%	0	100%	Financial statements	85% by 1 Jul 2013	90% by 15 Jul 2013	95% by 30 June 20103	98% by 30 June 2013	100% by 30 June 2013	Quartely	100%	5
64	Implemented Workplace skills plan	HOD: CS (M. N.Shandu)	N/A	0	0	95%	WSP report and minutes to meeting	90% by 1 July 2013	95% by 15 July 2013	100% by 30 June 2013	100% by 15 June 2013	100% by 01 June 2013	Quartely	100%	5

# **ZULULAND IDP REVIEW 2014/2015 (FINAL)**

# 6. ZDM ORGANISATIONAL SCORECARD FOR 2014/2015

The ZDM Organisational Scorecard is attached at **Annexure 1.7**.

Page **322** of **329**