SECTION H: ORGANISATIONAL & INDIVIDUAL PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Municipal Systems Act, enacted in November 2000, requires all municipalities to:

- Develop a performance management system
- Set targets, monitor and review performance based on indicators linked to their integrated development plan (IDP)
- Publish an annual report on performance for the councilors, staff, the public and other spheres of government
- Incorporate and report on a set of general indicators prescribed nationally by the minister responsible for local government
- Conduct an internal audit on performance before tabling the report
- Have their annual performance report audited by the Auditor-General
- Involve the community in setting indicators and targets and reviewing municipal performance

1. KEY PERFORMANCE AREAS

Based on the issues identified, the key performance areas for the municipality have been defined as:

- Service Delivery
- Economic Development
- Social Development
- Institutional Development
- Financial Management
- Good governance and public participation

In addition to these, a number of key National indicators are prescribed, that must form part of the Municipality's Performance Management System.

2. BASIC PRINCIPLES OF ZULULAND DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

- It is Council's responsibility to adopt the PMS.
- The Executive Committee is responsible for the development of the system. However, the Executive Committee may assign responsibilities to the Municipal Manager in this regard, but remains accountable for the development of the PMS.
- The process of developing the system must be inclusive, participatory and transparent.
- The PMS must be simple, realistic, fair and objective, developmental and non-punitive.
- The IDP process and the PMS process should appear to be seamlessly integrated.

3. MUNICIPAL INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS

The municipality has established a project team comprising of the Municipal Manager and head of Departments to facilitate the performance management process within the Municipality. This team is responsible for the drafting, implementation and monitoring of the entire performance process within the Municipality

The Team is responsible for:

- Preparing the organization for change with the objective of reaching a common understanding of performance management within the organization;
- Facilitating the development of the PMS framework; and
- Supporting the organization in the implementation of the PMS framework.

The Zululand District Municipality has an Audit and Risk Management Committee set up in accordance with the prescripts of the Municipal Finance Management Act No. 56 of 2003, section 166. The Committee comprises of two independent members, who are not in the employ of the Zululand District Municipality, nor are they political office bearers.

The Chairperson of the Audit Committee is an independent person who is knowledgeable of the status of the position and has the requisite legal, business and leadership skills. The Committee operates in terms of written terms of reference approved by the municipality's Accounting Officer and Council's Executive Committee, which is in accordance with best practice.

3.1 AUDIT AND RISK MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE

The Zululand District Municipality has an Audit and Risk Management Committee set up in accordance with the prescripts of the Municipal Finance Management Act No. 56 of 2003, section 166. The Committee comprises of two independent members, who are not in the employ of the Zululand District Municipality, nor are they political office bearers.

The Chairperson of the Audit Committee is an independent person who is knowledgeable of the status of the position and has the requisite legal, business and leadership skills. The Committee operates in terms of written terms of reference approved by the municipality's Accounting Officer and Council's Executive Committee, which is in accordance with best practice.

The Committee performs its responsibilities as required in terms of the Municipal Finance Management Act (MFMA), as well as the code of Corporate Governance. The Audit Committee meets a minimum of four (4) times during a financial year. The Following issues are deliberated upon:

- The adequacy, reliability and accuracy of financial reporting and information;
- The activities and effectiveness of internal audit function;
- The accounting and auditing concerns identified as a result of the internal or external audits;
- The effectiveness of the internal control systems;
- Risk Management;
- Compliance with the MFMA and other applicable legislation;
- Performance Management; and
- Reports on forensic investigations.

3.2 INTERNAL AUDIT

The Zululand District Municipality has a system of Internal Audit under the control and direction of its Audit and Risk Committee. Based on the results of assurance work carried out by the Internal Audit unit, areas of weakness are identified and addressed. The weaknesses in internal control systems are brought to the attention of management to take corrective measures.

4. THE ZDM PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

Each municipality is required by legislation to develop a performance management framework. The framework should describe how the process of performance planning, monitoring, measurement, review and reporting will happen.

The framework also needs to indicate how the process will be organized and managed, by determining the functions of each role-player in the process.

In terms of Local Government Municipal Planning and Performance Regulations, a system must:

- (a) Comply with all requirements set out in the Municipal Systems Act;
- (b) Demonstrate how it is to operate and be managed from the planning stage up to the stages of performance review and reporting;
- (c) Clarify the roles and responsibilities of each role player, including the local community, in the functioning of the system;
- (d) Clarify the processes of implementing the system within the framework of the integrated development planning process;
- (e) Determine the frequency of reporting and the lines for accountability for performance;
- (f) Relates to the Municipality's employee performance management process

The Zululand District Municipality Performance Management Framework is a tool used by management to gain a better understanding of the ability of the organization to fulfill its mandate and achieve its goals.

The Zululand District Municipality Performance framework has the following steps:

Table 1: Steps in Preparing the ZDM OPMS

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Amendments identified in the revision process	All previous years' amendments to be taken into consideration in updating the IDP	Council; Exco; MM; HODs
Adoption of a process for drafting the IDP	Process adopted to guide the planning, drafting, adoption & review of the IDP	Council; Exco; MM; HODs
	Constitute ZDM Representative forum as Community Participation structure	Planning; Depts
	Advertise for Community Participation	Depts

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
	As part of IDP process, identify key development challenges	Council; Exco; MM; HODs
	Arrange for notices and agendas to be distributed at least 14 days before the meeting	Planning; Depts
	Document meeting comments and representations and include in Performance sheet	Planning; Depts
	Confirm key development priorities	Exco; Depts
Drafting of the IDP	Exco to delegate responsibility of drafting the IDP, whilst continuously monitoring it	Planning
Adoption of the developed IDP	Submit draft IDP to Council for adoption	Planning
	Community given 21 days to comment on draft prior to plan being submitted to Council for adoption	Planning; Depts
Implementation of the developed IDP	Convene regular meetings with Community to monitor IDP implementation	Planning; Depts
	Community forum members given 14 days before meetings to allow consultation with their constituencies regarding matters to be discussed at the meeting	Planning; Depts
	Municipality formally adopted the IDP	Council; Exco; MM; HODs
Disclose requirements of the IDP	MM to submit copy of IDP to the MEC for local Govt within 10 days of its adoption by Council	MM
	Copy of IDP to MEC must contain : Summary of process adopted; Statement confirming that IDP development process has been complied with and a copy of the adopted framework	MM
	Municipality to give notice to Public, within 14 days of IDP adoption and makes copies available for Public inspection	Planning; Depts
	Municipality to publicize, within 14 days of IDP adoption, a summary of the Plan	Depts
Proposals on the IDP received from the MEC	If proposals received from MEC, proof must be obtained that : 1) IDP has been adjusted if proposals agreed to 2) Reasons have been provided to MEC where proposals not agreed to	Council; Exco; MM; HODs

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Proposals for amending the IDP	If IDP amended, proof to be held that proposals were introduced by a member or committee of the Council	Council; Exco; MM; HODs
Amending the IDP	Proof must be obtained that notice was given by Municipality to all Council members before amendment adopted	Planning
	Proof must be obtained that prior to IDP amendment being adopted, public notice was issued for public comment allowing at least 21 days to comment on the proposed amendment	Planning; Depts
	Confirmation required that local Municipalities were consulted and that their comments were considered	Planning; Depts
	Proof must be held that the MM submitted copy of subsequent amendment to the MEC for local Govt within 10 days of the amendment of the Plan	ММ

2. DEVELOPMENT OF	2. DEVELOPMENT OF A FRAMEWORK FOR THE PMS				
RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING			
Review of framework for PMS together with PMS for year under review	Municipality review its framework for the PMS together with PMS for year under review	Dev Planning			
Development of a framework for the	Municipality has a documented framework for it s PMS	Dev Planning			
PMS	Keep minutes of meetings that were convened with Community Forum to consult regarding the development of the framework for the PMS	Planning; Depts			
	Community must be given at least 14 days before each meeting to consult their constituencies regarding the matters to be discussed at the meeting	Corporate Serv; Depts			
Development of the PMS	Proof that Exco managed the development of the municipality's PMS	Ехсо			
	Convene meetings with community forum to consult	Planning; Depts			
	Delegation of responsibilities assigned to the MM by Exco with regard to the development of the PMS in place	Planning			

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Adoption of the PMS	Proof required that Exco submitted the proposed PMS to Council for adoption	MM; Planning
	Proof required that Council adopted the PMS before or at the same time as setting up of KPIs and targets as per IDP	Exco; Council; MM; HODs
Implementation of the PMS	Proof that the municipality did implement the PMS	Dev Planning
	Proof that the community forum was consulted through regular meetings regarding the implementation of the PMS	Planning; Depts
Monitoring and review of the PMS	Proof that the municipality did establish mechanisms to monitor and review its PMS	Planning; Depts
	Proof that the municipality does monitor and review its PMS	Dev Planning
	Confirm that the community forum was given enough time to consult their constituencies regarding matters to be discussed at meetings	Dev Planning
	Proof that the municipality made know internally and to the public, the KPIs and performance targets set by it for the purposes of its PMS	Planning; Depts
Evaluating the framework for the PMS	Proof that the PMS framework describes how the municipality's cycle and processes of Performance Planning, Monitoring, Measurement, Review, Reporting and Improvement will be conducted, organized and managed	Dev Planning
Evaluating the PMS	Proof that the municipality's PMS shows how it is to operate, clarifies roles and responsibilities of role-players, clarifies system implementation, frequency of reporting and accountabilities, relates to the municipality's employee performance management process, provide a procedure to link PMS to IDP planning process and is devised to serve as an early warning of under- performance	Planning; Depts

3. PROCESS FOR SETTING & REVIEWING KPIs				
RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) ACTIONING	RESP.	FOR

3. PROCESS FOR SET	FING & REVIEWING KPIs	
RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Prescribed process for setting Key Performance Indicators	Confirmation required that the PMS prescribes a process of setting KPI's	Planning; HODs
Prescribed process for the Review of the Key Performance Indicators	Confirmation that the PMS prescribes an annual performance review process for the review of KPIs	Planning; HODs
Developing KPIs	Proof required that the municipality's PMS sets KPIs which are used as a yardstick for measuring performance, including outcomes and impact	Planning; HODs
	Proof required that the community through a forum was allowed to participate in the setting of appropriate KPIs for the municipality	Planning; HODs
Reviewing the KPIs	Proof that the municipality does review its KPIs on an annual basis in accordance with the PMS's review process	Planning; HODs
Evaluating the Key Performance Indicators	Proof required that the KPIs are reflected in the IDP of the municipality	Planning; HODs
matutors	Ensure that KPIs set include the general national KPIs prescribed according to Regulation 10	Dev Planning
	Include KPIs for all municipal entities and Service Providers with whom the municipality has entered into a service delivery agreement	Planning; HODs

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Prescribed process for setting Performance targets	Confirmation that the municipality's PMS prescribes process for setting performance targets	Planning; MM; HODs
Setting Performance	Ensure performance targets are set in terms of the PMS	Planning; MM; HODs
targets	Ensure that Community involvement was sought in setting Performance targets for the municipality	Planning; Depts

RESPONSIBILITY	(KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) ACTIONING	RESP.	FOR
		If the IDP and subsequently the KPIs were amended, confirm that performance targets were also amended in accordance with the amendments of the KPIs	Planning; Dep	ots	
Evaluating Performance targets	the	Proof that targets were set for each of the KPIS Ensure that the performance targets are practical and	Planning; Dep Planning; Dep		
		realistic and measure the efficiency, effectiveness, quality and impact of the performance of the municipality and its constituencies			

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Framework to track the performance of staff and Service Providers of the Municipality	Confirmation that the municipality has a framework in terms of its PMS to track performance of staff and Service Providers	Planning; Depts
Service delivery process	Ensure that all municipal staff reported in accordance with their lines of accountability and at the required intervals	MM; HOD's
	Proof that each service provider has reported to the municipality in accordance with their responsibilities and at the required reporting intervals	HOD's
	Ensure that resource allocation to service providers is in accordance with the municipality's development priorities and objectives	HOD's
Evaluating the framework for the tracking of the performance of the	Proof required that the framework has clarified breakdown of performance targets at all levels of the organization	HOD's
municipality's staff and service providers	Ensure that the framework clarifies the lines of accountability within the municipality and the intervals for reporting thereto (Staff & SPs)	MM; HOD's
	Ensure that framework clarifies methods for tracking performance of municipality's staff and that of Service Providers	HOD's

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. FOR ACTIONING
Monitoring framework	Ensure that the municipality has, as part of its PMS, a monitoring framework	Exco; Council; MM; HODs
Development of a monitoring framework	Proof that the municipality developed its monitoring framework after consultation with some form of Community forum	Planning; Depts
	Where Forum was used, proof required that notice was given at least 14 days prior to each meeting, giving them a chance to consult with their constituencies	Planning; Depts
Performance Monitoring	Proof that the monitoring of municipality's and service providers' performance are monitored in accordance with the monitoring framework	Planning; Depts
	Proof required that performance of staff and that of service providers is monitored on an ongoing basis throughout the financial year	MM; Exco; Council; HODs
	Where under-performance detected, proof that corrective measures were taken	MM; Exco; Council; HODs
Evaluating the monitoring framework	Confirm that the monitoring framework identifies roles of role-players in monitoring, collecting and analysing data; allocating tasks and gathering data; determines what data to be collected, how data is to be collected, stored, verified and analysed; gives timeframes for the data collection, times for report submissions and how they are to be submitted	Depts
	Confirmation that the framework provides for corrective measures where under-performance has been identified	MM; HODs
	There must be reporting to Council at least twice a year	MM

7. INTERNAL CONTROLS				
RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) ACTIONING	RESP.	FOR
Internal control	Ensure that the municipality has, as part of its internal control, an Internal Audit Activity	CFO		
	Ensure that a Performance Audit Committee has been set	Depts		

RESPONSIBILITY	KEY ACTIONS	PARTY(IES) RESP. ACTIONING	FOR
Internal control exercised	 Proof that the Audit Committee performs continuous audits assessing : 1) Functionality of the PMS 2) Compliance of the PMS with Systems Act 3) The extent to which measurements are reliable measures of the municipality's performance against KPIs 	Depts	
	Ensure that Performance Audit Committee has at least 3 members	Depts	
	Ensure majority of Committee members are not involved as councilor or employee of the municipality, with at least 1 member being a PMS expert	Depts	
	Performance Audit committee to submit at least 2 Audit reports to Council during the financial year	Planning	
Characteristics of the Performance Audit Committee	The Committee must have unrestricted access to records deemed necessary for it to perform its duties; it may also request any relevant person to attend its meetings to provide information considered important/relevant; it may liaise directly with Council/MM or internal and external auditors; it may also investigate any matter it deems necessary for the performance of its audits	Depts	

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT FOR THE COUNCIL (2010/2011)

The Annual Performance Report for the Council for the 2010/2011 financial year is as follows

Table 2: Annual Performance Report for Council (2010/2011)

Focus Area	KPI No	Indicator	Indicator/KPI measurement Frequency	Baseline	Annual target	Actual Achieved
Water and Sanitation	1	Sec 43 (Reg 10 (a)) : Percentage of households with access to basic level of water	Quarterly	63.7% of households had access to basic level of water	64.7% of households had access to basic level of water	65.7% of households had access to basic level of water
	2	Sec 43(Reg 10 (b)): Percentage of households earning less than R1100 pm with access to free water (Note: Rudimentary LOS included)	Quarterly	63.7% of households had access to basic level of water	64.7% of households had access to basic level of water	65.7% of households had access to basic level of water
	3.1	Number of required tests conducted (samples) as per approved strategy	monthly	1000 equired tests conducted (samples) as per approved strategy	1228 required tests conducted (samples) as per approved strategy	1228 equired tests were conducted (samples) as per approved strategy
	4	Cumulative water supply interruption time per plant less than specified target	Quarterly	15	<_15	9
	5	Draft WSDP submitted for consideration by council by target date	Quarterly	WSDP approved by council by 28/05/2010	WSDP approved by council by 30/06/2011	WSDP approved by council by 29/05/2011
	6	Sec 43(Reg 10 (a)): Percentage of households with access to basic level of sanitation	Quarterly	55.2% of households with access to basic level of sanitation	60.4% of households with access to basic level of sanitation	59.7% of households with access to basic level of sanitation

5.

Focus Area	KPI No	Indicator	Indicator/KPI measurement Frequency	Baseline	Annual target	Actual Achieved
	6.2	Sec 43(Reg 10 (b)): Percentage of households earning less than R1100 pm with access to free sanitation	Quarterly	55.2% of households with access to basic level of sanitation	60.4% of households with access to basic level of sanitation	59.7% of households with access to basic level of sanitation
	7	Draft 2011/2012 Water policies and bylaws revisions submitted for consideration by council by target date	annually		Draft 2011/2012 Water policies and bylaws revisions submitted for consideration by 30 June 2011	Draft 2011/2012 Water policies and bylaws revisions submitted for consideration by 29 May 2011
	8	Number of reports considered by WSA	quarterly		24 certified WSP reports submitted	24 WSP reports considered
	9	Average time of notification to community prior to planned interruptions	Quarterly	4 hrs notification to community prior to planned interruptions	24 hrs notification to community prior to planned interruptions	48 hrs notification to community prior to planned interruptions
	10	MIG grant funds spent on approved projects by the prescribed date	Quarterly	100% MIG grant funds spent on approved projects by 30 June 2010	100% MIG grant funds spent on approved projects by 30 June 2011	100% MIG grant funds spent on approved projects by 30 June 2011
	11	Sec 43 (Reg 10 (c)): Percentage of capital budget actually spent on projects identified in IDP	Quarterly	100% of capital budget spent on projects identified in IDP	100% of capital budget spent on projects identified in IDP	100% of capital budget spent on projects identified in IDP
Disaster Management	12	Number of planned awareness campaigns held	Quarterly	13 planned awareness campaigns held	12 planned awareness campaigns held	16 planned awareness campaigns held
	12.1	Updated plan that complies with sections 52,53 of the Disaster Management act 57 of 2002, submitted to council by the specified date	Quarterly	Disaster Management Plan approved by 25 March 2010	Disaster Management Plan approved by 31 June 2011	Disaster Management Plan approved by 30 April 2011

Focus Area	KPI No	Indicator	Indicator/KPI measurement Frequency	Baseline	Annual target	Actual Achieved
Municipal Airport	12.2	% progress with implementation of	Quarterly	75% progress with	50% progress with	70% progress with
		airport plan		implementation of airport plan	implementation of airport plan	implementation of airport plan
Sound Financial	13	Sec 43 (Reg 10 (g(ii))): Outstanding	Quarterly	0.5 Outstanding Service	0.25 Outstanding Service	
Management		Service Debtors to Revenue		Debtors to Revenue	Debtors to Revenue	
	14	Number of adjustments effected in	Quarterly	50% adjustments effected	50% adjustments effected	
		relation to the number of accounts		in relation to the number	in relation to the number	
		issued		of accounts issued	of accounts issued	
	15	Average processing time for invoices of approved work/services	Quarterly	7 days processing time	30 days processing time	
	16	Date Financial Statements submitted to office of AG	annually	Financial Statements submitted to office of AG by 30 August 2010	Financial Statements signed off by 31 August 2011	
	16.1	Nature of Audit Opinion	annually	Unqualified	Unqualified	
	16.2	Number of matters of concern reported	annually		Less than 8 matters of concern reported	
	17	Date of approval of Tabled Budget	annually	Budget tabled by 25 March 2010	Budget tabled by 15 March 2011	
	17.1	Date of approval of Final Budget	annually	Final Budget approved by 27 May 2010	Final Budget approved by 30 June 2011	
	18	Percentage of Planned Audit Meetings held	Quarterly	5 Planned Audit Meetings held	5 Planned Audit Meetings to be held	
	18.1	Percentage of Audit queries cleared within the next financial	annually	40% of Audit queries cleared	75% of Audit queries cleared	

Focus Area	KPI No	Indicator	Indicator/KPI measurement Frequency	Baseline	Annual target	Actual Achieved
		year				
	19	Date for approval of Financial Plan	annually	Financial Plan approved by 27 May 2010	Financial Plan approved by 30 June 2011	
	20	Sec 43 (Reg 10 (g(iii))): Cost Coverage	Quarterly	Cost Coverage of 6	Cost Coverage of 6	
	20.1	Sec 43 (Reg 10 (g(i))): Debt Coverage Ratio	Quarterly	Debt coverage ratio of 4	Debt coverage ratio of 4	
	21	DTLGA: % operating budget funded from cash	Quarterly	100 % of operating budget funded from cash	96 % of operating budget to be funded from cash	
	22	Number of days with excessive funds in current account in relation to the strategy	Quarterly	15 days	60 days	
	23	Date of approval of Annual Report	annually	Annual report approved by 28 Jan 2010	Annual report approved by 31 Mar 2011	
	23.1	Date of receipt of SDBIP by Mayor	Quarterly	SDBIP signed by MM by 08 June 2010	SDBIP signed by MM by 14July 2011	
	23.2	Number of SDBIP reports considered	Quarterly		16 reports submitted to CFO by 30 June 2011	
	24	To submit SDBIP reports to CFO	Quarterly		16 reports 2 weeks after financial yr end	13 reports2 weeksafter financial yr end
	25	% of capital projects budgeted for in accordance with the IDP	annually	100% of capital projects budgeted for in accordance with the IDP	100% of capital projects budgeted for in accordance with the IDP	

Focus Area	KPI No	Indicator	Indicator/KPI measurement Frequency	Baseline	Annual target	Actual Achieved
	25.1	Sec 43 (Reg 10[g]): Financial viability as expressed by the ratios in Gazette.	annually			
District Tourism	26	Number of tourism packages created for prioritised areas by specified date	quarterly	5 packages approved by Tourism portfolio committee	3 packages approved by Tourism portfolio committee	5 packages approved by Tourism portfolio committee
	27	Compilation of Tourism statistics by specified date	quarterly	Tourism statistics compiled and approved by 30 April 2010	Tourism statistics compiled and approved by 01 June 2011	Tourism statistics compiled and approved by 28 May 2011
	27.1	% of planned tourism liason meetings held	quarterly	4 planned tourism liason meetings held	8 planned tourism liason meetings held	12 planned tourism liason meetings held
Economic Development	29	Number of LED awareness events held	quarterly	12 LED awareness events held	8 LED awareness events held	14 LED awareness events held
	30	Number of LED business plans that are submitted by specified date	quarterly	17 LED business plans business plans submitted to funders	10 LED business plans business plans submitted to funders	17 LED business plans submitted to funders
	31	LED Plan reviewed and approved (Including Tourism, Business & Agricultural Plans) by target date	quarterly	LED plan reviewed and approved at 28 May 2010	LED plan reviewed and approved at 30 March 2011	LED plan reviewed and approved at 28 March 2011
	31.1	Number of LED Forums/Sub- Forums meetings held	quarterly	15 LED forums/subforums were held	9 LED forums/subforums were held	15 LED forums/subforums were held
HIV/AIDS	32	Number of planned awareness campaigns held	quarterly	13 planned awareness campaigns held	10 planned awareness campaigns held	26 planned awareness campaigns held
	33	HIV/AIDS Strategy reviewed and submitted to MM by planned date	quarterly	HIV/AIDS strategy reviewed and submitted to	HIV/AIDS strategy reviewed and submitted to	HIV/AIDS strategy reviewed and submitted to MM by

Focus Area	KPI No	Indicator	Indicator/KPI measurement Frequency	Baseline	Annual target	Actual Achieved
				MM by 30 April 2010	MM by 30 June2011	29 May 2011
Youth and Gender	34	Number of approved programmes held	quarterly	8 approved programmes were held	5 approved programmes were held	8 approved programmes were held
	35	Number of Youth & Gender related Business Plans submitted for funding	quarterly	2 youth and gender business plans were submitted for funding	2 youth and gender business plans were submitted for funding	4 youth and gender business plans were submitted for funding
	36	Youth & Gender Strategy reviewed and submitted to MM by specified date	quarterly	Youth and gender strategy was reviewed and submitted to the MM by 31 January 2010	Youth and gender strategy was reviewed and submitted to the MM by 30 June 2011	Youth and gender strategy was reviewed and submitted to the MM by 29 May 2011
	37	Number of District Youth & Gender Councils Meetings held	quarterly	8 district youth and gender councils meetings were held	4 district youth and gender councils meetings held	6 district youth and gender councils meetings were held
Community Development	38	Number of people participating inZDMCapacityProgrammes	quarterly	700 people participated in councils capacity building programmes	500 people participating in councils capacity building programmes	700 people participated in councils capacity building programmes
	39	Number of Capacity Building related Business Plans submitted	quarterly	8 capacity building related business plans submitted	6 capacity building related business plans submitted	8 capacity building related business plans submitted
Compliance, clean and sound administration	40	Revision of policies and bylaws by specified date	annual	Policies and bylaws reviewed by 27 May 2010	Revision of policies and bylaws by 30 June 2011	Revision of policies and bylaws by 28 March 2011
	40.1	Date of submission of bylaws for promulgation	annual	Bylaws submitted for promulgation 60 days after adoption	Bylaws submitted for promulgation 60 days after adoption	Bylaws submitted for promulgation 15 days after adoption
	40.2	Date of submission of reviewed	Quarterly	EAP submitted by 30 April	EAP submitted by 30 April	EAP submitted by 29 May

Focus Area	KPI No	Indicator	Indicator/KPI measurement Frequency	Baseline	Annual target	Actual Achieved
		employee assistance Program		2010	2010	2011
	41	Communication Plan reviewed for the year	Quarterly	Communication Plan reviewed by 31 May 2011	Communication Plan reviewed by 30 June 2011	Communication Plan reviewed by 28 March 2011
	42	Percentage of department allocated grant funds received (prior to approval of adjustment budget) spent	Quarterly	100% of allocated grant funds received (prior to approval of adjustment budget) spent	100% of allocated grant funds received (prior to approval of adjustment budget) spent	74.25% of allocated grant funds received (prior to approval of adjustment budget) spent (97+100+100/4)
	43	Sec 43(reg 10)(f): % of Municipal budget actually spent on skills Development Plan	Quarterly	100 % of Municipal budgetspentonskillsDevelopment Plan	100 % of Municipal budget spent on skills Development Plan	100 % of Municipal budget spent on skills Development Plan
Integrated & co- ordinated development	44	Number of Alignment meetings held	Quarterly	20 alignment meetings held	20 alignment meetings held	18 alignment meetings held
	45	Date of adoption of 2011/2012 Process Plan	Quarterly	Process Plan adopted by 30 July 2010	Process Plan adopted by 30 Sept 2011	Process Plan adopted by 29 May 2011
	45.1	Date of adoption of 2011/2012 Framework Plan	Quarterly	Framework Plan adopted by 30 July 2010	Framework Plan adopted by 30 Sept 2011	Framework Plan adopted by 29 May 2011
	47	Sec 43 (Reg 10 (e)): Number of EE target groups employed in three highest levels of management	Annually		1 target group employed in three highest levels of management	3 target groups employed in three highest levels of management

. ORGANISATIONAL SCORECARD (2013/2014)(INCLUDING KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS AND TARGETS)

6.

river			a	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4		Annı	ial Target 2013	/14			ë			
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference		
Ρ	Review and facilitate the District WSDP	Approved WSDP plan	31 May	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None	Planning	Certified council resolution		
		Percentage	-	0.14%	0.35%	0.62%	0.90%	0.70%	0.80%	0.90%	0.95%	1.00%					
		households with access to basic level	with access to basic level	64.51%	64.65%	65.00%	65.62%	66.52%	64.82%	65.62%	66.52%	67.47%	68.47%			Design report,	
	Provide free			to basic level	to basic level	to basic level	-	200	300	386	400	886	1086	1286	1326	1372	£
ΤS	basic water	of water (as per WSDP) (Reticulation- new household connections)	91952	92152	92452	92838	93238	92838	93038	93238	93278	93324	WSDP	Sanitation	Engineers certificate of completion		
		Percentage	I.	0.52%	0.49%	0.55%	0.41%	1.77%	1.87%	0.41%	2.07%	2.17%		Sani			
		of households earning less	64.51%	65.03%	65.52%	66.07%	66.48%	64.20%	66.07%	66.48%	68.55%	70.72%	Ь	Water and S	Design report, interim report and or		
TS	Improve access to free water	than R1100	I.	750	700	786	586	566	576	586	596	606	WSDP	Wa	Engineers		
		pm with access to free water (Note: Rudimentary)	91952	92702	93402	94188	94774	93612	94188	94774	95370	95976	~		certificate of completion		
TS	Improve water quality	Number of water quality tests as per the approved strategy	1735	459	819	1377	1836	1816	1826	1836	1846	1856	None		Sample test results as certified by the lab		

		-	r					1									
iver			0	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4		Annı	ual Target 2013	/14			a			
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference		
		Percentage	-	0.70%	1.60%	1.60%	0.70%	0.50%	0.60%	0.70%	0.80%	0.90%					
TS	Provide free basic sanitation	of households with access	63.07%	63.77%	65.37%	66.97%	67.67%	66.37%	66.97%	67.67%	68.47%	69.37%	WSDP		Design report, interim report and or		
15	services	to basic level	-	1000	2300	2300	1000	900	950	1000	1050	1100	WS		Engineers		
	Services	of sanitation (as per WSDP)	89902	90902	93202	95502	96502	94552	95502	96502	97552	98652			certificate of completion		
		Percentage	-	-	-	0.70%	1.60%	1.60%	0.70%	0.50%	0.60%	0.70%	0.80%	0.90%			
	Improve access	of households earning less	63.07%	63.77%	65.37%	66.97%	67.67%	66.37%	66.97%	67.67%	68.47%	69.37%	Annexure B		Design report, interim report and or		
TS	to free	than R1100	-	1000	2300	2300	1000	900	950	1000	1050	1100	exn		Engineers		
	sanitation	pm with access to free basic sanitation	89902	90902	93202	95502	96502	94552	95502	96502	97552	98652	Ann		certificate of completion		
Ρ	Ensure that legislated water policies are reviewed and updated	Approved identified water policies and bylaws	31-May	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None	Planning	Memo to MM on identified policies and bylaws to be amended, Certified council resolution, approved		

river			a	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4		Annı	ual Target 2013	/14			a	
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
			31-May	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None		policies
Р	Effectively monitor WSP's	Number of WSP Meetings scheduled	24	ω	6	9	8	2	5	8	10	12	None		Certified WSP report and minutes
TS	Implement effective Customer Care	Notification of community on planned water supply interruptions	41h	48hr	48hr	48hr	48hr	12hr	24hr	48hr	60hr	72hr	None	Water and Sanitation	Signed interruption and notice register
TS	Effectively utilise MIG allocation	% of MIG grant funds spent on approved projects	100%	6%	26%	66%	100%	96%	98%	100%			Annexure C	Water an	Certified Ledger expenditure
All	Maximise the implementation of IDP identified projects	Capital budget actually spent on identified projects	100%	20%	40%	70%	100%	80%	90%	100%	-	-	Annexure D	Executive and Council	Certified Ledger expenditure

iver				Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4		Annu	ual Target 2013	/14			a	
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
*Co	Effective coordination of DM plan implementation	Disaster management forum meeting held by specified date		30-Sep	30-Dec	30-Mar	30-May						None		Approved Minutes, agenda
Co	Create awareness of hazards and disasters	Number of DM awareness campaigns scheduled per quarter	57	3	6	9	10	4	6	10	12	14	None		Minutes confirming reports tabled and feedback reports
Со	Review and facilitate the district Disaster Management plan	Approved DM Plan	31-May	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None		Council resolution and plan
Со	Review and facilitate the Municipal airport management plan	Airport plan submitted to MM by specified date	-	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None		Certified council resolution and plan

driver				Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4		Annı	ual Target 2013	/14			e	
Program dr	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
Co	Review and facilitate the Municipal airport management plan	Implement identified activities from the airport plan	120%	25%	50%	75%	100%	80%	90%	100%	-	-			Implementation report and minutes
			•					R 0.00						0.00	

Nat	ional KPA	: Municip	al Fin	ancial v	viability	and ma	nageme	nt							
Bala	ance Scor	ecard Pers	specti	ve: Fina	ances										
/er				Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4			Annual Target					
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
F	Improve revenue collection	Outstanding service debtors recovery rate to revenue	0.9	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.48	0.32	0.25	0.23	0.2	None	inances	Annual Financial Statements & Sec 71 Reports
F	Improve supply chain application	Number of successfull appeals	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	None	Fir	Supply chain manage- ment report

Bala	ance Scor	ecard Pers	pecti	ve: Fina	ances										
er			-	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4		,	Annual Target					
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
F	Process payments in time	Processing time of invoices	1	30	30	30	30	60	45	30	15	7	None		Creditors report
F	Complete and submit accurate annual financial statements	Review and submit Financial Statements	31-Aug	31 Aug 2013			31-Aug	30-Oct-13	15-Oct-13	31-Aug	24-Aug-13	15-Aug-13	None		AG receipt
F	Complete and submit accurate annual financial statements within the specified time period	Unqualified audit opinion	Unqualified	-	Unqualified	-	-	Disclaimer	Qualified	Unqualified	Clean Audit	-	None		AG audit report
F	Budget for ZDM annually	Approved final budget	31-May	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None		Council resolution and final budget approval
F	Have an effective Auditing Function	Audit committee meetings scheduled	4	30-Sep- 13	30-Dec- 13	30-Mar-14	30-Jun-14	30-Jul-14	15-Jul-13	30-Jun-14	15-Jun-14	1-Jun-14	None		Approved Minutes to meetings

Nat	ional KPA	: Municip	al Fin	ancial v	viability	and ma	nageme	nt							
Bala	ance Scor	ecard Pers	specti	ve: Fina	ances										
rer				Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4			Annual Target					
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
MM	Report timely and accurately	SDBIP reports approved by specified date	-	30-Sep- 13	31-Dec- 13	31-Mar-14	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None		Council Resolution
F	Have an effective Auditing Function	% of audit querries addressed from the AG report	100%	75%	75%	75%	75%	55%	65%	75%	90%	100%	None		Internal and external report
F	Develop a Financial Plan (i.e. Budget Process and Time Table)	Approved financial plan	29-May	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None		IDP resolution
F	Increase the cost coverage ratio	Cost Coverage ratio	25.24	3	3	3	3	1	2	3	4	5	None		Annual Financial Statements & Sec 71 Reports
F	Increase the dept coverage ration	Debt Coverage Ratio	10	3	3	3	3	1	2	3	4	5	None	Finances	Annual Financial Statements & Sec 71 Reports
F	Provide sufficient cash resources	% operating budget funded from cash	100%	96%	96%	96%	96%	92%	94%	96%	98%	100%	None		Investments and monthly operating expenditure

	ance Scor	ecard Pers	pecti	Ve: Fina Quarter	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4			Annual Target					
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
F	Report timely and accurately	Approved annual report	29-Mar	-	-	Friday, March 28, 2014	-	30-Apr-14	15-Apr-14	Friday, March 28, 2014	15-Mar-14	1-Mar-14	None		Certified council minutes and annual report
F	Produce accurate statements	% of accounts adjustments effected	-	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	4%	5%	None		Financial report
F	Keep a minimum cash balance to cover average monthly expenditure	Number of days with excessive funds in current account in relation to strategy	60	60	60	60	60	40	50	60	65	70	None		Investment report
F	Align Capital Programme and IDP	% of capital projects budgeted for in accordance with the IDP	100%	95%	95%	95%	100%	90%	95%	100%			None		Budget report

National KPA: Local Economic Development

				Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)			
CD	Co-Ordinated and Integrated Regional Economic Development	Approved LED Strategy	1 Jun	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	ZDM 1140/2012	Community Services	Approved LED strategy, Certified Council Minutes
CD	Co-Ordinated and Integrated Regional Economic Development	Number of tourism awareness and training workshops held	-	2	4	6	8	4	6	8	10	12	None		Tourism portfolio committee approved minutes and awareness and training reports
MM?	Co-Ordinated and Integrated Regional Economic Development	Number of jobs created through LED initiatives and capital projects	419	50	100	150	200	100	150	200	250	300	Annexure E	Community Services	Monthly progress reports and minutes to meetings, request from community and endorsement from ZDM
CD	Increase implementation capacity	Number of submitted LED Business plans	11	1	2	3	4	1	2	4	6	8	None		Receipt of BP from funder, signage or mail
CD	Effectively co- ordinate LED in the District	Number of LED ward projects implemented	-	-	-	-	200	100	150	200	230	260	LED ward projects		Annual Awarded list, Annual expenditure report

National KPA: Social Development and Food Security

				Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4			Annual Target					
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project		Evidence reference
CS	Plan and implement institutional measures that would reduce the impact of HIV/AIDs	Approved HIV/ADS Strategy	28-Jun	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None	e	Approved strategy and minutes to the meeting
CS	Create HIV/AIDS awareness and education	Number of HIV/AIDS awareness campaigns held	11	4	8	12	16	10	14	16	18	20	None	GFS Vote	Attendance register, certified minutes and reports
CS	Access sufficient resources to implement Youth and Gender Programmes	Number of submitted Youth & Quality of life business plans	6	2	4	6	8	5	7	8	10	12	None		signage doc or response mail
CS	Strategically plan development and empowerment initiatives for youth and gender	Approved youth and strategy	un-28	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None		Approved strategy and minutes to the meeting

National KPA: Social Development and Food Security

				Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4			Annual Target					
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
	Strategically plan development and empowerment initiatives for youth and gender	Quality of life strategy submitted to MM		-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014			
CS	Enable participation and create awareness of Councils Youth and Gender Programmes	Number of District Youth Council Meetings held	1	2	4	6	8	4	6	8	10	12	None		Minutes of meetings
	Enable participation and create awareness of Councils Youth and Gender Programmes	Number of quality of life Council Meetings held		2	4	6	8	4	6	8	10	12			
CS	Reduce poverty by implementing Community Development Projects	Number of people participating in ZDM Community Capacity Building Programmes	510	125	125	125	125	105	115	125	135	145	None		Monthly signed registers and reports

National KPA: Social Development and Food Security

				Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4			Annual Target					
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
CS	Implement food production compliance	Number of food production site inspection reports	-	24	36	12	48	20	35	48	52	60	Agriculture - LED		Approved inspection reports
CS	Enhance mortuary compliance	Number of mortuary inspection reports	-	3	6	9	12	8	10	12	14	16	None		Approved inspection reports

Bala	nce Score	ecard Persp	ectiv	e: Learı	ning an	d grow	/th								
				Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4			Annual Target					
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
ММ	Improve community and stakeholder participation	Approved communication strategy	-	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None	Executive and Council	Council minutes
ALL	Spend grant funding	Percentage of allocated grant funds spent	100%	20%	40%	70%	100%	85%	90%	100%	-	-	None	Finances	Financial Statements
F	Improve governance	Approved fraud prevention strategy	-	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None	Executive and Council	Council minutes
ММ	Manage performance effectively	Number of signed Sec 57 performance agreements	-	6	6	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	None		Signed performance agreements, Council resolution

Nat	ional KPA	: Good Gov	ernai	nce & P	ublic P	articipa	ation								
Bala	Balance Scorecard Perspective: Learning and growth														
				Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4								
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
ММ	Maintain Institutional Capacity to render Municipal Services	Number of critical posts filled in relation to organogram	-	60%	70%	80%	100%	85%	90%	100%	-	-	None		Human resource reports
F	Mitigate risks	Approved risk management plan	-	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None	Finances	Minutes to meeting

				Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4			Annual Target		-			
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
Р	Encourage participation in IDP process, ensure alignment with Local Municipalities	Number of stakeholder alignment meetings	25	2	4	6	8	4	6	8	10	12	None		Minutes of meeting
Р	Encourage participation in IDP process, ensure alignment with Local Municipalities	Date of submission of IDP Framework Plan to Council for adoption	30 Sep	30 Sep 2013	-	-	-	30-Oct-13	15-Oct-13	30 Sep 2013	15 Sep 2013	01 Sep 2013	None	Planning	Council Resolution, Minutes of meeting
Ρ	Effective spatial development	Date of submission of reviewed Spatial Development Framework to Council	-	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None		Council Resolution, Minutes of meeting

				Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4		-	Annual Target	-	-			
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
Ρ	Encourage participation in IDP process, ensure alignment with Local Municipalities	Adopted Integrated Development plan	31-May	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None		Council resolution
Р	To ensure documentation and update of PMS processes and procedures	PMS Framework Policy submitted to MM		Identify areas for review by 30 Sept 2014	Comments from identified stakeholders incorporated by 30 Dec 2014	PMS Framework policy submitted to MM by 30 May 2014	PMS Framework policy tabled to Council by 30 June 2014	PMS Framework policy tabled to Council by 30 Jul 2014	PMS Framework policy tabled to Council by 15 Jul 2014	PMS Framework policy tabled to Council by 30 June 2014	PMS Framework policy tabled to Council by 15 June 2014	PMS Framework policy tabled to Council by 30 May 2014	None		proof of receipt by MM office, Council resolution
Ρ	To effectively deal with development and environmental applications in line with legislation	% of environmental applications dealt with within 20 days		100% of received applications dealt within 20 days	100% of received applications dealt within 20 days	100% of received applications dealt within 20 days	100% of received applications dealt within 20 days	60% of received applications dealt within 20 days	70% of received applications dealt within 20 days	80% of received applications dealt within 20 days	90% of received applications dealt within 20 days	100% of received applications dealt within 20 days	None		letters of response from ZDM faxed to applicant

				Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4			Annual Target					
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
Co	Application of Policies & bylaws	Employee Assistance Programme submitted to MM	ı	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None		Minutes of meeting, approved programme
Co	Application of Policies & bylaws	Corporate service related policies submitted to MM	ı	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None		Approved policies and minutes to meeting
ММ	Implement and Manage Employment Equity	Number of people from employment equity target groups employed in three highest levels of Management	3	20%	40%	60%	100%	80%	90%	100%	-	-	None	Executive and Council	EE report and minutes to meeting

				Quarter 1	Quarter 1 Quarter 2		Quarter 4			Annual Target					
Program driver	Objective	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Target	Target	Target	1 (Unacceptable Performance)	2 (Not Fully Effective)	3 (Fully Effective)	4 (Performance Significantly above expectations)	5 (Outstanding Performance)	Project	GFS Vote	Evidence reference
Co	Maintain Institutional Capacity to render Municipal Services	Workplace skills plan submitted to Local Labour Forum	-	-	-	-	Monday, June 30, 2014	Thursday, July 31, 2014	Tuesday, July 15, 2014	Monday, June 30, 2014	Sunday, June 15, 2014	Sunday, June 01, 2014	None		LLF Resolution, Signed Minutes
Co	Maintain Institutional Capacity to render Municipal Services	Municipal Budget spent on Skills Development Plan	100%	20%	40%	70%	100%	85%	95%	100%			None		Ledger certified by CFO or Financial Statements
Co	Maintain Institutional Capacity to render Municipal Services	Implemented budgeted activities in the Workplace skills plan	-	20%	40%	70%	100%	90%	95%	100%			None		Ledger certified by CFO or Financial Statements

ANNEXURE I.1

SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (SDF)

ANNEXURE I.2

DISASTER MANAGEMENT PLAN

ANNEXURE I.3

AG COMMENTS ON LATEST AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND RESPONSES & ACTIONS THERETO